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These slides present the results of the "Stuck Schools Revisited: Beneath the Averages" analysis of math performance for African-American, Latino, white, lowincome and higher income students in Maryland and Indiana.

For a detailed description of the calculations and data sources, as well as for reading analysis results please see "Stuck Schools Revisited" and the report's "Appendix A:

Methodology."

## Power Point Contents

- Figures $\mathrm{M}-1$ to $\mathrm{M}-20$ correspond to Figures 1-20 in the main report.
- Figures MB-1 to MB-14 correspond to Figures B-1 to $B-14$ in Appendix $B$ to the main report.


## Figure M-1: Number of schools included in analysis, by subgroup*

| Subgroup | Maryland | Indiana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | 777 | 1,386 |
| African American | 777 | 322 |
| Latino | 245 | 174 |
| Higher Income | 975 | 1,311 |
| Low Income | 890 | 1,228 |
| All schools with five years of data | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 7}$ |
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## Figure M-2: Benchmarks used to classify schools based on math performance and improvement

| Benchmark | Description | Maryland | Indiana |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline overall proficiency rate at 75th-percentile school | Schools with baseline subgroup proficiency rates above this benchmark are high performing | 86\% | 82\% |
| Baseline overall proficiency rate at 25th-percentile school | Schools with baseline subgroup proficiency rates below this benchmark are low performing | 65\% | 69\% |
| Overall five-year average annual improvement rate at 75thpercentile school | Schools with four-year and five-year average annual subgroup improvement rates above this benchmark are high improving | 4.2 percentage points per year | 1.5 percentage points per year |
| Overall five-year average annual improvement rate at 25thpercentile school, or 0 percentage points per year, whichever is higher. | Schools with four-year and five-year average annual subgroup improvement rates below this benchmark are low improving | 1.1 percentage points per year | 0 percentage points per year |
| Baseline overall proficiency rate at fifth-percentile school | Schools where the last three years of subgroup proficiency rates are below this benchmark are chronically low performing | 42\% | 53\% |

Maryland math results by ethnicity

Figure M-3: 2005-2009 Math proficiency rates of Maryland students, by ethnicity


Figure M-4: 2005-2009 Math proficiency rates by ethnicity at high, average, and low-performing schools: Maryland
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Figure M-5: Percentages of students, by ethnicity, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for students overall in the baseline: Maryland


Figure M-6: Percentages of students, by ethnicity, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Maryland
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Note: Unlike performance and school count data, student counts include all elementary and middle schools with five years of assessment results, not just those with $20+$ students tested in a given subgroup each year (See Key Analytic Decisions box on p.3). Also, please note that percentages in pie and bar charts may not add up to exactly $100 \%$ due to rounding.

Figure M-7: Number of schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Maryland


Figure M-8: Number of schools that were high, average, or low improving for each subgroup during 2005-09: Maryland


Figure M-9: Number of schools that started out low performing for each subgroup, by level of 2005-09 improvement: Maryland


Figure M-10: Schools that were stuck or chronically low performing for one or more subgroups, but not for students overall, in math: Maryland


Figure M-11: Schools identified as stuck or chronically low performing for one or more subgroups, but not for students overall, in reading, math or both: Maryland


Identified in both reading and math

Indiana math results by income level

Figure M-12: 2004-2008 Math proficiency rates of Indiana students, by income level


Figure M-13: 2004-2008 Math proficiency rates by income at high, average, and low-performing schools: Indiana
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Figure M-14: Percentages of students, by income, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for students overall in the baseline: Indiana
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Figure M-15: Percentages of students, by income, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Indiana
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Figure M-16: Number of schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Indiana


Figure M-17: Number of schools that were high, average, or low improving for each subgroup during 2004-08: Indiana


Figure M-18: Number of schools that started out low performing for each subgroup, by level of 2004-08 improvement: Indiana


Figure M-19: Schools that were stuck or chronically low performing for one or more subgroups, but not for students overall, in math: Indiana


Figure M-20: Schools identified as stuck or chronically low performing for one or more subgroups, but not for students overall, in reading, math or both: Indiana


Identified in both reading and math

## Maryland math results by income level

Figure MB-1: 2005-2009 Math proficiency rates of Maryland students, by income level


Figure MB-2: 2005-2009 Math proficiency rates by income at high, average, and low-performing schools: Maryland
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# Figure MB-3: Percentages of students, by income, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for students overall in the baseline: Maryland 

Higher Income


Figure MB-4: Percentages of students, by income, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Maryland
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Figure MB-5: Number of schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Maryland


Figure MB-6: Number of schools that were high, average, or low improving for each subgroup during 2005-09: Maryland


Figure MB-7: Number of schools that started out low performing for each subgroup, by level of 2005-09 improvement: Maryland


## Indiana math results by ethnicity

Figure MB-8: 2004-2008 Math proficiency rates of Indiana students, by ethnicity


Figure MB-9: 2004-2008 Math proficiency rates by ethnicity at high, average, and low-performing schools: Indiana


Figure MB-10: Percentages of students, by ethnicity, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for students overall in the baseline: Indiana


Note: Unlike performance and school count data, student counts include all elementary and middle schools with five years of assessment results, not just those with $20+$ students tested in a given subgroup each year (See Key Analytic Decisions box on p.3). Also, please note that percentages in pie and bar charts may not add up to exactly $100 \%$ due to rounding.

Figure MB-11: Percentages of students, by ethnicity, attending schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Indiana
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Figure MB-12: Number of schools that were high, average, or low performing for each subgroup in the baseline: Indiana


Figure MB-13: Number of schools that were high, average, or low improving for each subgroup during 2004-08: Indiana


Figure MB-14: Number of schools that started out low performing for each subgroup, by level of 2004-08 improvement: Indiana



[^0]:    * Note: Schools included in subgroup-level analysis have 20+ students tested in that group in each of five consecutive years.

